UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Matthew Penaloza Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00132-RH
		Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	s of Fact
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that wexisted – that is	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ould have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
-	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death	or life imprisonment.
-	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in:
-	a felony committed after the defendant had been cor U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 cal offenses.
-	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	structive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while to local offense.	ne defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the conference described in finding (1).	ate of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fin	dings (A)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	re is prescribed in:*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establishewill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions a safety of the community.
<u>√</u> (1)	Alternative Fin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	dings (B)
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the R	easons for Detention
evidence _	nd that the testimony and information submitted at the dete a preponderance of the evidence that:	· —
	dant has a long history as a member of the Holland Latin k	

- 3. The Latin Kings organization has the financial resources to finance fugitives.
- 4. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.
- 5. Defendant has a lengthy criminal history including crimes of violence.
- 6. Defendant has had prior violations/revocations of probation.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 27, 2013	Judge's Signature: _/s/ Ell	en S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Ellen	S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge